

RECEIVED

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT/US2005/001277

From THE INTERNATIONAL BUREAU

PCT

JAN 08 2008

NOTIFICATION CONCERNING TRANSMITTAL OF COPY OF INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (CHAPTER I OF THE PATENT COOPERATION TREATY)
(PCT Rule 44bis.1(c))

Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman LLP
VINCENT, Lester, J.
Blakely Sokoloff Taylor & Zafman
12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90025
ETATS-UNIS D'AMERIQUE

Date of mailing (day/month/year)
21 December 2007 (21.12.2007)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
P17995PCT

IMPORTANT NOTICE

International application No.
PCT/US2005/001277

International filing date (day/month/year)
14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)

Priority date (day/month/year)
03 February 2004 (03.02.2004)

Applicant
INTEL CORPORATION et al

The International Bureau transmits herewith a copy of the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

The International Bureau of WIPO
41, chemin des Cornettes
1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

Authorised officer

Agnes Wittmann-Regis

Facsimile No. +41 22 348 82 76

e-mail: pct@pct@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P17995PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION		See item 4 below
International application No. PCT/US2005/001277	International filing date (day/month/year) 14 January 2005 (14.01.2005)	Priority date (day/month/year) 03 February 2004 (03.02.2004)	
International Patent Classification (8th edition unless older edition indicated) See relevant information in Form PCT/ISA/237			
Applicant INTEL CORPORATION			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).		
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.		
3.	This report contains indications relating to the following items:		
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. I	Basis of the report	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. II	Priority	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability, citations and explanations supporting such statement	
	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Box No. VI	Certain documents cited	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application	
	<input type="checkbox"/> Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application	
4.	The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2).		

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	Date of issuance of this report 06 December 2007 (06.12.2007)
	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis e-mail: p006.pcr@wipo.int

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

To:

see form PCT/ISA/220

PCT

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1)

Date of mailing
(day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet)

Applicant's or agent's file reference
see form PCT/ISA/220

FOR FURTHER ACTION
See paragraph 2 below

International application No.
PCT/JS2005/001277

International filing date (day/month/year)
14.01.2005

Priority date (day/month/year)
03.02.2004

International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC
G06F3/14

Applicant
INTEL CORPORATION

1. This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:

- ☒ Box No. I Basis of the opinion
- ☐ Box No. II Priority
- ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
- ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention
- ☒ Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- ☒ Box No. VI Certain documents cited
- ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application
- ☐ Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

2. FURTHER ACTION

If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.

If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.

For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.

3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.

Name and mailing address of the ISA:



European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan 2
NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas
Tel: +31 70 340 - 3040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl
Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016

Authorized Officer

Gonzalez Ordóñez, O

Telephone No. +31 70 340-3529



**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US2005/001277

Box No. I Basis of the opinion

1. With regard to the **language**, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
 - ☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).
2. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
 - a. type of material:
 - ☐ a sequence listing
 - ☐ table(s) related to the sequence listing
 - b. format of material:
 - ☐ in written format
 - ☐ in computer readable form
 - c. time of filing/furnishing:
 - ☐ contained in the international application as filed.
 - ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
 - ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3. ☐ In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.
4. Additional comments:

**WRITTEN OPINION OF THE
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY**

International application No.
PCT/US2005/001277

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-20
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	
	No: Claims	1-20
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-20
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

Box No. VI Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.10)

and /or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rules 43bis.1 and 70.9)

see form 210

Re Item V

**Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability;
citations and explanations supporting such statement**

Reference is made to the following document/s/:

- D1: [Online] 13 October 1999 (1999-10-13), XP002343889 Retrieved from the Internet: URL: <http://www.thedirks.org/v4i2/v4i2cod.htm> [retrieved on 2005-08-29]
D2: US 2003/117382 A1 (PAWLOWSKI STEPHEN S ET AL) 26 June 2003 (2003-06-26)

1. inventive step

1.1 The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of Independent claims 1, 7, 11, 15 and 18 do not involve an inventive step in the sense of Article 33(3) PCT.

1.2 The document D1 is regarded as being the closest prior art to the subject-matter of claims 1, 7, 11, 15 and 18, discloses a software specification and API for handling video codec devices. The API includes support for querying the capabilities of the codec (page 1, paragraph "Query Capabilities"), for querying the supported image conversions (page 1, paragraph "Enumerating Supported Image Conversions") and includes write() calls for sending converted data to the driver (page 1, paragraph "Devices"). It is understood that the physical implementation of an API consists of instructions stored in a storage medium.

The use of drivers for transmitting decoded signals to a display is also widely known in the field of computing. The use of memory coupled to a processor and an Ethernet card interoperating with both is a standard in any network-capable computer.

Therefore, the subject-matter of independent claims 1, 7, 11, 15 and 18 differs from the prior art in that the driver can work with different codecs at different periods of time without altering its default configuration.

The problem to be solved by the present invention can be regarded as reducing the

configuration overhead necessary for having a driver use a new codec.

The solution proposed in claims 1, 7, 11, 15 and 18 of the present application cannot be considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) for the following reasons:

The API described in D1 has means (software calls) especially designed to enable an application (such as a driver) to query a codec and obtain configuration information from it, such as its capabilities and its supported image conversions. A programmer skilled in the art of writing display drivers, can incorporate these readily available calls in a display driver, as a matter of simple choice, therefore enabling the driver to obtain its configuration information directly from any compatible codec without resorting to a new software configuration for each change of codec.

1.3 Concerning dependent claims 2, 3, 8, 9 and 14, D2 describes a video display controller which dynamically configures itself to work with a display panel, in response to parameters received from the display panel (page 2, paragraph [0024]). Detecting the display technology - Cathode Ray Tube (CRT), Liquid Crystal Display (LCD), Organic Light-Emitting Diode (OLED) display, etc, is one of the capabilities of the video display controller described in D2. A programmer skilled in the art can implement a software emulation of this video display controller without inventive step being involved.

1.4 Dependent claims 4 - 6, 10, 12, 13 16, 17, 19 and 20 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refers, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents D1, D2 and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.